

# (5) Univariable Analysis of Categorical Data

Dr. Wan Nor Arifin

Biostatistics and Research Methodology Unit  
Universiti Sains Malaysia  
[wnarifin@usm.my](mailto:wnarifin@usm.my) / [wnarifin.github.io](https://wnarifin.github.io)



Last update: Jul 17, 2023

# Outlines

- Introduction
- Chi-squared test
- Fisher's exact test
- McNemar's test

# Learning outcomes

- Understand the concept of non-parametric test
- Familiarize with selected non-parametric tests for categorical variables
- Understand and able to interpret the results of the selected non-parametric tests

# Introduction

# Non-parametric Test

- Statistical test that:
  - Distribution free, no assumptions about the distribution of the data e.g. normality, equality of variances
  - No specific population parameters to be tested, e.g. mean
  - Typically categorical; nominal or ordinal data
  - e.g. observed frequencies for categories in a sample number of smokers by gender etc

# Non-parametric Test

- Statistical test that (cont.):
  - More flexible, can perform analysis when assumptions for parametric not fulfilled.
  - e.g. data not normally distributed.
  - LESS powerful than parametric test.

# Non-parametric Test

- Non-parametric tests used for testing association for categorical outcomes:
  - Two categorical variables (two or more categories), one measurement: Chi-squared test, Fisher's exact test
  - One categorical variable (two categories), two repeated measurements: McNemar's test

# Chi-squared Test



# Chi-squared Test

- Purpose: Test the association between two categorical variables
- Procedure:
  - It compares the observed cell counts VS expected cell counts
  - If they differ substantially - association

# Chi-squared Test

- Assumptions:
  - Only  $< 20\%$  cells with expected count  $< 5$
  - No expected counts  $< 1$

# Chi-squared Test

## **Research objective:**


To test the association between A and B

## **Research question:**

Is there an association between A and B?

# Chi-squared Test

RQ: Is there an association between A and B?



# Example

- Sample size: 200
- Variables:
  - Smoking: smoking / no smoking
  - Cancer: lung cancer / no lung cancer

# Cross-tabulation

Smoking	Lung Cancer	
	Yes	No
Yes	20 (62.5%)	12 (37.5%)
No	55 (32.7%)	113 (67.3%)

# Expected Count

Smoking	Lung Cancer		Sub-total
	Yes	No	
Yes	20 ( $32 \cdot 75 / 200 = 12$ )	12 ( $32 \cdot 125 / 200 = 20$ )	32
No	55 ( $168 \cdot 75 / 200 = 63$ )	113 ( $168 \cdot 125 / 200 = 105$ )	168
Sub-total	75	125	200

No expected count < 5

# Results

Pearson's Chi-squared test

data: lung\$Smoking and lung\$Cancer

X-squared = 10.159, df = 1, p-value = 0.001436

$(2-1)(2-1)$

P-value





# Results

Table X: Association between smoking and lung cancer.

Variable		Lung cancer <i>n</i> (%)	No lung cancer <i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i>	$\chi^2$ - statistic <sup>a</sup> (df)	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>
Smoking	Yes	20 (62.5)	12 (37.5)	32	10.159 (1)	0.001
	No	55 (32.7)	113 (67.3)	168		

<sup>a</sup> Chi-square test for independence

# Fisher's Exact Test

# Fisher's Exact Test

- Purpose: Test the association between two categorical variables
- Situation:
  - When chi-squared test assumption not fulfilled
  - i.e. small expected count  $< 5$  more 20% of the cells

# Example

- Sample size: 20
- Variables:
  - Gender: Male / Female
  - Disease: Disease / No disease

# Cross-tabulation

Gender	Disease	
	Disease	No disease
Male	10 (66.7%)	5 (33.3%)
Female	0 (0.0%)	5 (100.0%)

# Expected Count

Gender	Disease		Sub-total
	Disease	No disease	
Male	10 (7.5)	5 (7.5)	15
Female	0 (2.5)	5 (2.5)	5
Sub-total	10	10	20

50% of expected count < 5, but none < 1

# Results

Pearson's Chi-squared test

```
data: disease
X-squared = 6.6667, df = 1, p-value = 0.009823
```

```
Warning message:
In chisq.test(disease, correct = F) :
  Chi-squared approximation may be incorrect
```

Using Chi-squared test is not appropriate

Fisher's Exact Test for Count Data

```
data: disease
p-value = 0.03251
```

Using Fisher's exact

# Results

Table X: Association between gender and disease status.

Variable		Disease <i>n</i> (%)	No-disease <i>n</i> (%)	<i>n</i>	<i>P</i> -value <sup>a</sup>
Gender	Male	10 (66.7%)	5 (33.3%)	15	0.004
	Female	0 (0.0%)	5 (100.0%)	5	

<sup>a</sup> Fisher's exact test

No test statistic, only P-value



# McNemar's Test

# McNemar's Test

- Purpose: Test the difference between two repeated measurements of one categorical variable (two categories)
- e.g. pre-post treatment, paired measurement using different methods

# McNemar's Test

- Whether the subjects still have the same outcomes (concordant) or different outcomes (discordant) upon repetition (pre-post)
- Determined by looking at the discordant cells
- Assumption:
  - Only two categories
  - Mutually exclusive categories

# McNemar's Test

## **Research objective:**


To test the difference in outcomes for A pre and post treatment

## **Research question:**

Is there any difference outcomes for A pre and post treatment?

# McNemar's Test

RQ: Is there any difference in outcomes for A pre and post treatment?



# Example

- Sample size: 60
- Variable:
  - Size of skin lesion pre and post treatment

# Cross-tabulation

Skin Lesion Size Before Treatment	Skin Lesion Size After Treatment		Sub-total
	Large	Small	
Large	5	25	30
Small	1	29	30
Sub-total	6	54	60

Discordant pairs

# Results

McNemar's Chi-squared test

data: skin

McNemar's chi-squared = 22.154, df = 1, p-value = 2.517e-06

McNemar's test uses chi-squared statistics to get  $P$ -value



# Results

Table X: Status of skin lesion pre- and post-treatment.

Size of Skin Lesion		Post		<i>n</i>	$\chi^2$ - statistic (df) <sup>a</sup>	<i>P</i> -value
		Large <i>n</i> (%)	Small <i>n</i> (%)			
Pre	Large	5 (8.3)	25 (41.7)	60	20.346 (1)	< 0.001
	Small	1 (1.7)	29 (48.3)			

<sup>a</sup> McNemar's test

McNemar's test also uses  $X^2$  statistics

# Quiz

- Briefly describe about non-parametric test
- Describe the purpose of testing by Chi-squared test
- Describe the purpose of testing by Fisher's exact test
- Describe the purpose of testing by McNemar's test

# Quiz

**Table 1.** Demographic characteristics in two groups prior to training

Demographic variables		SMS group		Control group		Chi-square statistics	P-value
		n	%	n	%		
Gender	Female	17	45.9	17	47.2	0.913	0.550
	Male	20	54.1	19	52.8		
Education level	Diploma	23	62.2	20	55.6	0.596	0.742
	Academic education	14	37.8	16	44.4		
Married status	Married	32	86.5	33	91.7	0.502	0.371
	single	5	13.5	3	8.3		
Job	Housekeeper	15	40.5	9	25	8.152	0.227
	Employee	14	37.8	9	25		
	pensionary	8	21.7	18	50		
Drug type	Metformin	10	27	9	25	1.561	0.668
	Insulin	3	8.1	5	13.9		
	Combine	24	64.9	22	61.6		

Lari, H., Noroozi, A., & Tahmasebi, R. (2018). Impact of short message service (SMS) education based on a health promotion model on the physical activity of patients with type II diabetes. *The Malaysian journal of medical sciences: MJMS*, 25(3), 67.

# Quiz

**Table III: Characteristics of the victims of sexual assaults stratified according to the victim-perpetrator relationship**

Victim-perpetrator relationship.	Relatives, n (%)	Known to the victim, n (%)	Stranger, n (%)	Total, n (%)	P-value*
<b>Ethnicity</b>					
Malay	11 (17.5)	37 (58.7)	15 (23.8)	63 (65.6)	0.602
Chinese	0	7 (63.6)	4 (36.4)	11 (11.5)	
Indian	1 (7.7)	7 (53.8)	5 (38.5)	13 (13.5)	
Others	0	6 (66.7)	3 (33.3)	9 (9.4)	
<b>Type of offence</b>					
Rape	7 (10.4)	45 (67.2)	15 (22.4)	67 (69.8)	0.003
Gang Rape	0	6 (50)	6 (50)	12 (12.5)	
Sodomy	1 (50)	1 (50)	0	2 (2.1)	
Both (Rape & Sodomy)	1 (25)	2 (50)	1 (25)	4 (4.2)	
Molestation	3 (27.3)	3 (27.3)	5 (45.5)	11(11.5)	
<b>Place of crime</b>					
Victim's own house	12 (37.5)	13 (40.6)	7 (21.9)	32 (33.3)	<0.001
Offender's house	0	21 (91.3)	2 (8.7)	23 (24.0)	
Others	0	23 (62.2)	14 (37.8)	37 (38.5)	
Unsure	0	0	4 (100)	4 (4.2)	

\*Fisher's exact test

Ahmad, M. I., Ismail, R., Arifin, W. N., Noordin, M., Amirah, N., Bahari, N. S. N. S., & Arshad, M. K. N. M. (2020). Sexual Assault: A Descriptive Study of Victims Attending a Public Hospital in Ipoh. *Malaysian Journal of Medicine & Health Sciences*, 16(1).

# Quiz

**Table 4.** GOS at three and six months for unfavourable group

	GOS at three months	GOS at six months
Good Recovery	6	7
Moderate disability	2	2
Severe disability	2	1
Vegetative state	0	0
Death	1	1
Total	11	11

McNemar test,  $P = 0.368$

Sidek, M. S. M., Siregar, J. A., Ghani, A. R. I., & Idris, Z. (2018). Teleneurosurgery: outcome of mild head injury patients managed in non-neurosurgical centre in the state of Johor. *The Malaysian journal of medical sciences: MJMS*, 25(2), 95.

# Thank You